Membership Representation for Detecting Block-diagonal Structure in Low-rank or Sparse Subspace Clustering Minsik Lee, Jieun Lee, Hyeogjin Lee, and Nojun Kwak

1. Overview of subspace clustering

Subspace clustering divides data into groups, each of which forms a linear subspace. There are many examples that are adequate for subspace clustering, such as motion, face, and texture.



 $\left\|\mathbf{W}\right\|_{o_{W}}+\lambda_{E}\left\|\mathbf{E}\right\|_{o_{E}},$ $\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{E}}$ s.t. $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{E}$,

W: Solution matrix E: Error matrix

Low-Rank Representation (LRR) [Liu et al., TPAMI 2013] or Sparse Subspace Clustering (SSC) [Elhamifar and Vidal, TPAMI 2013] both solve this problem by a self expressive model with low-rank or sparsity constraint, respectively. The solution matrix is approximately block-diagonal, which requires post-processing.

2. Proposal: Membership representation / normalized membership representation

Properties of membership matrix

- 1. Each element is either zero or one Diagonal elements are all ones.
- 2. It can be transformed into a block diagonal matrix, by permuting the same indices of rows and columns. Then, the blocks of the transform matrix are filled with one.
- 3. It is positive semidefinite (PSD).
- 4. It has integral eigenvalues.
- 5. For an ideal W, M that has the same block-diagonal structure with W satisfy:





Transform into approx. membership

Result of

LRR or SSC



3. Procedure



- 3. These optimization problems are complex.
- \rightarrow Auxiliary variables (e.g., $M=M_1=M_2$)
- \rightarrow Augmented Lagrangian method (ALM)



4. Sets of membership / normalized membership matrices are discrete. \rightarrow Relax them to convex conditions.

Properties of normalized membership matrix

1. It is doubly stochastic, i.e., F1=F^T1=1.

2. It can be transformed into a block diagonal matrix, by permuting the same indices of rows and columns. Each block is filled with the reciprocal of its dimension.

3. It is an orthogonal projection, i.e., $\mathbf{F}^2 = \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^T$.

- 4. The eigenvalues are either zero or one.
- 5. For an ideal M, F that has the same block-diagonal structure with M satisfy:

 $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F} \odot \mathbf{M}$

4. Results



5. Conclusion

- We propose the membership representation (MR), which detects the block-diagonal structure in the output of subspace clustering.
- MR is a self-expressive system based on a Hadamard product.
- The final output is a normalized membership matrix, which is a doubly stochastic normalization of **M**. It has eigenvalues in between zero and one.
- A simple eigenvalue-counting method showed competitive results in the experiments.



Compared methods: Normalized cut [Shi and Malik, TPAMI 2000]

| R) | | | | Hopkins 155 | | |
|---|-----|----|-------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| | | | K | $v_{ m ACC}$ | $v_{ m NMI}$ | p_K (%) |
| | LRR | NC | exact | 0.965 (0.077) | 0.883 (0.187) | 1 |
| *** | | | est. | 0.928 (0.107) | 0.828 (0.236) | 0.744 |
| | | MR | exact | 0.966 (0.075) | 0.891 (0.156) | 0.949 |
| | | | est. | 0.941 (0.103) | 0.869 (0.163) | 0.814 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | SSC | NC | exact | 0.974 (0.073) | 0.909 (0.192) | 1 |
| | | | est. | 0.926 (0.120) | 0.808 (0.320) | 0.724 |
| | | MR | exact | 0.970 (0.076) | 0.915 (0.158) | 0.974 |
| | | | est. | 0.939 (0.113) | 0.893 (0.153) | 0.801 |
| \frown | | | | - | | |

| Yale-Caltech | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | LRR | | SSC | | | | | | | |
| | NC MR | | | NC | MR | | | | | | |
| | exact | exact | est. | exact | exact | est. | | | | | |
| $v_{ m ACC}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.936 | 0.982 | 0.950 | | | | | |
| $v_{ m NMI}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.961 | 0.980 | 0.951 | | | | | |
| K | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 40 | | | | | |